



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/722,889	11/27/2000	Henry F. Lada	COMP:0129 (P00-3124)	6088
7590 09/16/2005			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Administration			YANCHUS III, PAUL B	
Legal Departme				
PO Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Ft. Collins, CO 80527-2400			2116	
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h	·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/722,889	LADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul B. Yanchus	2116				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apprehends for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	ly 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
. —						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9,12-14,16-26 and 28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26 and 28</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9,12-14 and 16-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

This final office action is in response to communications filed on 7/14/05.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 26 and 28 are allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 12-14 and 16-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shih et al, US Patent no. 6,405,362 [Shih] and Mills et al., US Patent no. 6,353,870 [Mills], in view of, Kirinaka, US Patent no. 6,052,742.

Regarding claim 1, Shih teaches a method comprising:

coupling an option pack [Compact Flash, PCMCIA memory card or other removable computer readable medium] to a main unit [Palm-size PC, column 6, lines 43-46],

the option pack comprising a first memory device configured to store one or more applications and drivers associated with the one or more applications [column 6, lines 9-20],

the main unit comprising a device manager [operating system, column 6, lines 20-25], a power supply and a third memory [column 4, lines 49-51 and Figure 1]; and

Art Unit: 2116

downloading the one or more applications and associated drivers from the first memory device to the third memory device [column 7, lines 20-23 and lines 55-61].

Shih does not explicitly specifically disclose a second memory device on the option pack that stores card identification data and is different from the first memory device. Shih does state that the option pack may be any well known removable computer medium [column 6, lines 43-46]. Mills discloses a known MultiMediaCard, which includes a first memory for storing application data [Memory Core in Figure 3A] and a second memory, which is different from the first memory, that stores card identification data [CID and CSD in Figures 3A and 3B]. Mills discloses that the CID and CSD registers contain information that is needed for the card to interface with host computers [Figure 3B].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the Mills MultimediaCard as the removable computer medium disclosed by Shih as it is a known removable computer medium capable of fulfilling Shih's goal of providing additional functionality to a Palm-size PC.

Shih and Mills disclose a method of coupling an option pack to a main unit and transmitting identification data from the option pack to the main unit. Shih and Mills do not disclose transmitting the identification data from the option pack to the main unit before the option pack is fully powered. Kirinaka discloses transmitting identification data [physical specification of the operational voltage] from an option pack [expansion card] to a main unit [host machine] before the option pack is fully powered. After the identification data is received, the main unit supplies a full power to the option pack based on the received identification data [column 3, line 33 – column 4, line 11]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2116

the art to modify the Shih and Mills method to transmit card identification data from the option pack to the main unit before the option pack is fully powered in order to increase the flexibility of the method by enabling the main unit to function with option packs that have different operational voltages without the risk of supplying inappropriate operational voltages and harming the option packs.

Regarding claim 2, Shih states that the option pack may be any well known removable computer medium [column 6, lines 43-46].

Regarding claim 4, Shih discloses a driver for overseeing the interaction between that main unit and the option pack [shell and event manager, column 6, lines 28-31 and 41-45].

Regarding claim 5, Shih states that the option pack may be a Compact Flash card [column 6, lines 43-46].

Regarding claim 6, Mills states that known removable expansion cards contain ROM [column 1, lines 19-21].

Regarding claim 7, Shih teaches that the installed applications from the option pack are deleted when the option pack is removed [column 7, lines 23-28 and lines 62-67]. Therefore, the memory in the main unit will not comprise the option pack applications when the card is inserted.

Regarding claim 8, Shih teaches that the installed applications from the option pack are deleted when the option pack is removed [column 7, lines 23-28 and lines 62-67].

Regarding claim 9, Shih teaches that the installed applications from the option pack are deleted when the option pack is removed [column 7, lines 20-28 and lines 62-67].

Art Unit: 2116

Regarding claim 12, Shih teaches that the installed applications from the option pack are deleted when the option pack is removed [column 7, lines 20-28 and lines 62-67].

Regarding claim 13, Mills teaches that the CID and CSD registers contain option card configuration and identification information [Figure 3B].

Regarding claim 14, Mills teaches that the MultimediaCard communicates data to the main unit through a single data pin [DAT in Figure 3]. Therefore, any information transferred from the MultimediaCard to the mina unit must be done serially.

Regarding claims 16 and 18, Shih, Mills and Kirinaka do not explicitly teach determining whether the power supply in the main unit has enough power to activate the option pack fully. However, the Examiner takes official notice that it is notoriously well known in the art to determine whether a power supply has enough power to fully perform a function before attempting to complete the function. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine whether the power supply in the main unit has enough power to activate the option pack fully before attempting to activate the option to prevent the activation process from being stopped prematurely due to power deficiencies.

Regarding claims 17 and 19, Shih, Mills and Kirinaka do not explicitly teach determining whether the third memory space has enough memory capacity to receive the applications and associated drivers stored on the first memory. However, the Examiner takes official notice that it is notoriously well known in the art to determine whether a first memory has enough memory capacity to completely save data copied from a second memory before attempting to copy the data. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine whether the third memory space has enough memory capacity to receive the

Art Unit: 2116

applications and associated drivers stored on the first memory to prevent wasted time and power consumption of attempting to copy data to the third memory when it does not have enough memory capacity.

Regarding claim 20, Shih teaches a method of connecting an option pack to a main unit comprising:

powering on the main unit and determining if there is an option pack coupled to the main unit [column 6, lines 41-51 and column 8, lines 10-18];

providing an interrupt signal from the option pack to the main unit, interrupting the processing of the main unit and notifying the main unit that the option pack is present [column 6, lines 32-40 and 42-46]; and

downloading one or more software applications and associated drivers from the option pack to the main unit [column 7, lines 20-23 and lines 55-61].

Shih does not explicitly specifically disclose a second memory device on the option pack that stores card identification data and is different from the first memory device. Shih does state that the option pack may be any well known removable computer medium [column 6, lines 43-46]. Mills discloses a known MultiMediaCard, which includes a first memory for storing application data [Memory Core in Figure 3A] and a second memory, which is different from the first memory, that stores card identification data [CID and CSD in Figures 3A and 3B]. Mills discloses that the CID and CSD registers contain information that is needed for the card to interface with host computers [Figure 3B].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the Mills MultimediaCard as the removable computer medium disclosed by Shih as it is a known

Art Unit: 2116

removable computer medium capable of fulfilling Shih's goal of providing additional functionality to a Palm-size PC.

Shih and Mills disclose a method of coupling an option pack to a main unit and transmitting identification data from the option pack to the main unit. Shih and Mills do not disclose transmitting the identification data from the option pack to the main unit before the option pack is fully powered. Kirinaka discloses transmitting identification data [physical specification of the operational voltage] from an option pack [expansion card] to a main unit [host machine] before the option pack is fully powered. After the identification data is received, the main unit supplies a full power to the option pack based on the received identification data [column 3, line 33 – column 4, line 11]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Shih and Mills method to transmit card identification data from the option pack to the main unit before the option pack is fully powered in order to increase the flexibility of the method by enabling the main unit to function with option packs that have different operational voltages without the risk of supplying inappropriate operational voltages and harming the option packs.

Regarding claim 21, Shih, Mills and Kirinaka, as described above, teach that the option pack is inserted into main unit while the main unit is powered on. Therefore, the option pack is being hot-plugged into the main unit.

Regarding claims 22 and 23, Shih, Mills and Kirinaka do not explicitly teach determining whether the power supply in the main unit has enough power to activate the option pack fully. However, the Examiner takes official notice that it is notoriously well known in the art to determine whether a power supply has enough power to fully perform a function before

Page 8

Art Unit: 2116

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine whether the power supply in the main unit has enough power to activate the option pack fully before

attempting to activate the option to prevent the activation process from being stopped

prematurely due to power deficiencies.

Regarding claims 24 and 25, Shih, Mills and Kirinaka do not explicitly teach determining whether the third memory space has enough memory capacity to receive the applications and associated drivers stored on the first memory. However, the Examiner takes official notice that it is notoriously well known in the art to determine whether a first memory has enough memory capacity to completely save data copied from a second memory before attempting to copy the data and notifying a user if there is not enough memory capacity. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine whether the third memory space has enough memory capacity to receive the applications and associated drivers stored on the first memory to prevent wasted time and power consumption of attempting to copy data to the third memory when it does not have enough memory capacity.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-9, 12-14 and 16-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/722,889 Page 9

Art Unit: 2116

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul B. Yanchus whose telephone number is (571) 272-3678. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H. Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Yanchus September 12, 2005 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100